Previous references.

File No. 110 of 1904-05

1904.

Serial Nos. 1—10

(III Police.)

Later references.

Serials 1-6 B. Nov 05
police 39 of 05.
pol 180 of 06-07
Sl. 1-3 B. Jan 07.
pol 163 of 06-07
pol 149 of 06 Sl. 1-10
B Jan 07 Pol. 95-05-1104

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 10.

Part B. for August 1905

SUBJECT.

Delay on the part of the Mysore police to reply to communications made to them by the police in the Madras Presidency.

FILE No. 110 of 1904-05.

Delay on the part of the Mysore Police to reply to communications made by the Police in the Madras Presidency.

From the First Assistant Resident in Mysore, No. 6145, dated 9th December 1904.
Forwards a letter from the Inspector General of Police in Madras, bringing to notice two instances of delay on the part of the Mysore Police in replying to communications made by the Madras Police, and requests that the matter may be enquired into and the result reported for the Resident's information. (Enclosure—Letter from the Inspector General of Police, Madras, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore).

2 To the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, No. J. 1527, dated 13th December 1904,
Forwards the enclosure received with the above and states that the delay brought to notice is not creditable to the officer or officers concerned, and requests that an enquiry may be made and a report, with his own remarks, submitted at an early date.

From the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, No, 4654, dated 20th February 1905.
Forwards copy of a memo by the Assistant Superintendent of Police, regretting the delays, and suggests that, to avoid inconveniences in future, the Inspector General of Police in Madras may be requested to adopt a definite and uniform procedure of addressing, in routine matters, either direct to the Taluk Police or through the District Superintendent, preferably the latter. (Enclosure copy of memo.)

4 To the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Letter Pol. No. 748—Police 110-4, dated 3rd March 1905.
Forwards a copy of the enclosure received with Serial No. 2, and states that Government regrets the delay, and requests that the Inspector General of Police, Madras, may be addressed to issue instructions to the Police of the bordering Districts of the Presidency to address all routine communications with the State Police through the District Superintendent concerned in Mysore.

5 From the First Assistant Resident in Mysore, letter No. 2812, dated the 20th May 1905.
Forwards for approval, a copy of draft rules, received from the Inspector General of Police, Madras, regulating correspondence between subordinate Police officials in British and Mysore Territory.

6 To the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, letter No. J. 3440, dated 29th May 1905.
Forwards copy of the above draft rules and requests him to state his views thereon.

7 From the same officer, letter No. 7392—776, dated 20th and 27th June 1905.
States that the draft rules referred to in the above are most convenient and will obviate delay in correspondence on routine matters, and makes certain proposals to introduce these rules into the Mysore State.

8 To the First Assistant Resident, letter No. Pol. 27, dated 11th July 1905.
States that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja have no objection to the adoption of the proposed rules, but that their adoption will necessitate the authorising of all Station House Officers and Police Inspectors to frank official covers, and requests him therefore to move the Postal authorities to admit all Police officers of the State of and above the Station House Officer's grade into the list of persons eligible to use the Service postage labels on State correspondence and to post the same within the limits of Mysore.

From the same, No. 4561, dated 17th August 1905.
Forwards 24 copies of the rules referred to above and states that separate communication will be made as regards the use of Service postage labels on State correspondence posted within the limits of Mysore.

10 To the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, No. J. 520, dated 23rd August 1905.
Forwards the above rules and states that a separate communication will be made as regards the use of Service labels on State correspondence posted within the limits of Mysore.

G. R. S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(III. Police)

NOTES.

File No. 110 of 1905

FILE HEADING.

Delay on the part of the Mysore Police to reply to Communications made to them by the Police in the Madras Presidency

From The First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

No. 6145 Dated 9-12-04. Received 10-12-04.

Diary No. 1200 | Enclosure and Spare Copies. - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a letter from the Dy. of Police in Madras bringing to notice certain delays on the part of the Mysore Police to reply to communications made to them by the Police in the Madras Presidency & Requests that the matter may be enquired into & the result reported to the Resident for information.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submits a draft letter to the Dy. of Police forwarding the above letter & calling for a report in the matter.

SP 10/12/04

10.12.1904

NOTES—First sheet.

MGGPB—25,000—18-8-06

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 110 of 1906-05

Serial No.	
2	Letter no J 1527 d 13.12.04 to the I.G. of Police forwards (in original) a letter addressed to him by the I.G. of Police in Madras, re two instances of delay in replying by the Mysore Police, and requests the D.G. to institute a detailed enquiry into the matter and then submit a report at an early date with his remarks thereon.
3	From the D.G. of Police, in Mysore- Letter no: 4654/491 dated 20.2.05. states that such delays will be guarded against & forwards the memo no: 535 of the asst Supt of Police with the Dy. Comm's remarks the letter of the Dy. Commr of Kolar Dist. who says deplicates the delay in the one instance and on account of the district police office being improperly looked after and explains that in the other instance, there has been no delay. The D.G of Police concurs with the Dy. Comm's, in suggesting a Uniform method of correspondence to be adopted with the District Police by the officers or officials of the bordering districts of His Majesty's territory. Subd

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 110 of 1904-05

Serial No.

3 Subd with a draft reply to the Residency. Dy Secy
SN 23/2/05 Registrar
23/2/05

4 It is to be filed a copy of the letter from the Madras D.G. of P. was not kept in the office. (In future, copies of enclosures which further orders have to be taken should invariably be kept.) Please dispatch this D.O. to Mr Nagar Subbaday.
Noted SN 24/2/05
D.O. sent on 24-2-05
BM 23.2.05
24/2/05

5 Subd with a D.O. from Mr Nagar Subbaday forwarding a copy of the letter from the D.G. of Police, Madras Presidency.
SN 24/2/05

6 Draft for approval submitted
Lee 4
25-2-05

7 Draft submitted to the Dewan Sahib thro the 2nd Councillor
25-2-1905
192
25-2-05.

8 Draft may be approved. There is no unfriendliness in this matter. It should be avoided in future.
26/2/05
27.2.1905

8/3/05

5036
27.2.05.

Note—Confn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 110 of 1904-05

Serial No.

4 To

The First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident, in Mysore.

Letter Pol: No: 748/Police 110-4, dated 3.3.05.

States that the Govt regret the delay and suggests that a uniform method of correspondence may be adopted to avoid delay and requests that the Dy of Police, Madras may be addressed to issue instructions to the Madras Police in the bordering districts to address all routine ~~matters~~ communications with the State Police through the Dist: Supdt of Police, Concerned, in Mysore.

5 Letter No 2812 dated the 20th May 1905 from the Offg. A. Resident in Mysore.

Forwards copy of draft rules, received from the D. of Police, Madras, regulating correspondence between subordinate police officials in British and Mysore territory for approval of government.

Submits with a draft letter to the Dy. of Police asking him to state his views on the draft rules to this Govt. which will be called for. The rules which

Note—Contn. sheet.

S.G.G.P.B.—20,600—1-11-04.

Serial No.

provide for even the mode of correspondence in routine communications & those involving matters of a controversial nature seem to be altogether

RHS 29/5/05

Secy / Passed 23/5/05

25.5.1905

23/5/05

To

6 The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore

Letter no: & 3440 dated 29.5.05.

Requests him to state his views on the above draft rules.

From

7 The Dy of Police in Mysore.

Letter no: 7352/776 dated 20/27.6.05

States that the draft rules referred to in the above are most convenient and will obviate delay in correspondence on routine matters & makes certain proposals to introduce these rules into the Mysore Province. They are:-

(1) to authorize all station House officers to frank Covers, and

(2) to provide all stations with a small supply of service labels, at an average of Re 1 for every

Serial No.

every Station. This involves an extra expenditure of Rs 515 as there are 515 Head & Sub Stations in the State. The D.G. ~~states~~ regrets that as this amount cannot be met from the contingencies allotted to the respective stations, it may be sanctioned to be met from the savings under the head "Executive Rural Police". The proper procedure in such cases is to raise the contingents of ~~the next st~~ all the stations. It is too late to do so now as the budget for the next official year has been finally passed. The amount has therefore to be met by reappropriation from ~~savings~~ the savings under other sanctioned items. This will be pointed out to the D.G. when final orders are issued.

13 As regards proposal no 1, a draft letter to the Residency requesting that the Post Master General may be moved in the matter is put up for approval. Subd for orders.

SN
29-6-05

Registrar
29-6-05

Dy Secy
Draft submitted to the Diwan Saheb thro the 2nd Committee

30/6/1905

Rec'd
1-7-05

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04

Serial No.

15 May be passed.
All the stations in the Province must be supplied with Service Stamps except those in the Frontier Talukas & Customs Stations. For the latter Service Stamps must be used.

B.Z. 3/7/05

14/7/05
7506
4.7.05

B.Z. 3/7/05
4/7/05

To The 7. A. Resident. Letter no. Pol 27 of 11.7.05

Stating that the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja have no objection to the adoption of the proposed rules, and for this purpose all the Station House Officers and Police Inspectors must be authorised to frank official covers, and requesting him to move the Postal authorities to admit all Police Officers of an above the Station House Officer's grade into the list of persons eligible to use the Service Postage labels on State Correspondence and to post it within the limits of the Mysore State.

From the same officer.

Letter no: 4561 dated 17.8.05. (Enclosures 24)

Forwards 24 copies of the

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 110 of 1904-05

Serial No.

Rules issued by the IG of Police, Madras to regulate correspondence between Madras and Mysore Police and states that a separate communication will be made as regards the use of Service postage labels on state correspondence posted within the limits of Mysore.

16

Submd with a draft letter forwarding the enclosed rules to the IG of Police in Mysore.

B. Rec'd 16/8/05

CRO SII
19.8.05 19/8/05

17 Passed
21.8.1905

To
10 The I.G. of Police in Mysore. No. J520-D/23.8.05

Forwards the above Rules & states that a separate communication will be made as regards the use of Service postage labels on state correspondence posted within the limits of Mysore.

Note—Contn. sheet

III Dec. 9/12

R. P. B.—7-1904.—1,000.

F.no 110 of 04
S.no 1.

No. 614 Exec / J. 1200

From

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Pol no 879 dt 10.12.02

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th December 1902.

Sir,

I am directed to forward the accompanying letter addressed to the Inspector General ~~of~~ in Mysore bringing to notice certain delays on the part of the Mysore Police to reply to communications made to them by the Police in the Madras Presidency.

2. The two instances of delay now brought to notice as at present stated, and subject to any explanation that may be available, are not creditable to the local Police Officers, and Sir James Bourdillon will be glad if the Durbar will cause an enquiry to be made into the matter and the result reported to him for information.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

Chief Office

of the Inspector General of Police.

No: P.C. 3483/Genl. Madras, 28th November 1904.

From,

W. O. Horne Esquire, I. C. S.,

Inspector General of Polic

Madras.

To,

The Inspector General of Police

in Mysore,

thro' the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In my letter R.C.No: 69/ Genl. dated 18th January 1904 I had occasion to bring to your notice certain delays on the part of the Mysore Police to reply to communications made to them by the police of this Presidency. I have the honor to agai bring to your notice two somewhat serious cases of inertness on the part of the Police in the Kolar District.

Briefly the facts are these:- The District Superintendent of Police, Anantapur, reports that on the 18th September 1903 the station-house officer of Anantapur asked the station-house officer of Bagaipalle, Kolar District, for certain information respecting one Koracha Nagalagadu who had joined a criminal gang of his station range. All he wanted to know was whethe the man belonged to any registered gang in Bagaipalle station limits, and whether any previous convictions had been recorded against him. Notwithstanding reminders, the requisition was not returned until the 16th October 1904 - more than a year later.

In the second case, which I would bring to your notice the station-house officer of Roddam - Penukonda Division, Anantapur

Anantapur District, asked the same station-house officer of Bagaipalle for certain information respecting a Lambadi by name Bhimayya, a member of one of the criminal gangs of his station range who had gone to pay a visit (?) to Gudibanda, a village in the limits of Bagaipalle station range. This requisition apparently remained unnoticed until the 16th October 1904 - more than a year later.

Both of these bear the endorsement of the Assistant Superintendent of the Kolar District.

I venture to repeat what I have already expressed in my letter above quoted that the closest co-operation between the Mysore Police and those of this Presidency is necessary for the prevention - if not the detection - of grave crime.

I have &c.,

(Sd.) F. S. Mullaly .

For Inspector General of Police.

"C O P Y"

For Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. 26]

(4)

Police
File No. 110
Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 1527 — Sr. Ml.
Police 110-2

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, the 13/12/ 1904.

Date of issue 13/12/04

Signed by Mr. B. K. Venkat Varadha Ayyangar.

To
The Inspector General of Police
in Ulpac.

Sir,

I am directed to forward the accompanying letter addressed to you by the Inspector General of Police in Madras, bringing to notice two instances of delay on the part of the Station House Officer of Bagepalli Station to reply to communications made by the Police officers in the Madras Residency and to ~~report~~ state that ~~you will kindly enquire into~~ the delay brought to notice is not creditable to the Police officer or officers concerned if not properly explained over. 2.

SUBJECT.

5

I am to request ~~therefore that~~ you will be kindly cause enquiries to be made in the matter and to submit at a very early date a report, with the explanations of the officers responsible for the delay with your remarks thereon.

Thanks etc

10-12-1904

10/12/04 Secretary

SN
10/12/04

List of enclosures.

Copied by BG. 12/12/04.

Examined by SN 12/12/04

Police
III
Secy 27/2/05

£1768

Fileno: 110

1905 Serial no: 3

From

The Inspector General of

Police in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

Gen. and Rev. Dept.

Dated

Despatched 20th February 1905

Received

General No. 4654

No. 491

Enclosure No. 2

In the matter of delays in replying to the communications from the Madras Presidency, states that steps will be taken to prevent recurrence of such delays.

MGCPE—300—9-1-05

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

No. 4654/491 Con 2.

Dated Camp Tarikere, 17th February 1905.
Bangalore 20th

Enc.

From H. E. b. Lane Esquire,
~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To B. K. Venkatāvaradaiengar Esqr
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Reference:-

Your letter No 1527/Police 110-2 dated 12th December 1904, forwarding letter No 3483 dated 28th November 1904 from the Inspector General of Police, Madras, bringing to notice two instances of delay, on the part of the Bangepally Police, in replying to Communications made by the Police of the Madras Presidency.

I have the honor to forward herewith

herewith copy of memo. No 535 dated 1st February 1905 from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Kolar District, with the Deputy Commissioner's remarks thereon, explaining the cause of delay in the matter, and to state that steps will be taken to prevent a recurrence of such delays in future.

In this connection I beg to forward herewith, for the information of Government, a copy of letter No 165 dated 28th November 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, proposing, that to avoid delay in replying to references, a uniform method of correspondence, either direct with the Taluk Police or through the District Superintendent of Police, may be adopted, in routine matters, by the Madras Police.

There

There being no uniformity in this respect, it often happens that original letters are addressed to Taluks, and reminders to Districts, and vice versa; and consequently it will be impossible to ascertain definitely, who is to blame in case of delay or non-reply.

As it is desirable that there should be some definite arrangement, I request that the Government will be pleased to address the Inspector General of Police, Madras, in the matter; and ask him which of the two proposed channels of correspondence would be most convenient and expeditious. In my opinion all Communications should be addressed through the District Superintendents' Office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. C. Clarke

Inspector General of Police

9

M E M O .

No: 535. 7 04-05

With reference to the letter of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, No: 3499/123 dated 21st December 1904 forwarding copy of letter No: 3483 dated the 28th November 1904 from the Inspector General of Police in Madras anent certain delays in replying references from the Police of that Presidency I beg to state as follows:-

2. The references relating to Lambani Bhimagga was forwarded to Gudibanda for enquiry and report and the other regarding Korachar Nagalagadu which was returned to Anantapur for an English translation being furnished was also eventually sent to Pagapally for necessary investigation and report.

3. They were referred to the clerk in charge of that work as soon as they were received. Both these references were ultimately returned in November and December 1903 respectively to the District Police office , Kolar, where they were found to be mislaid by one Narasimmiengar who was doing duty as despatcher in the office as 4th Munshi and whose services have since been dispensed with. The successor to Narasimmiengar brought this to my notice and stated that he found them mixed up with old records.

4. After this was brought to my notice in October last I ordered a fresh book to be opened which is since being systematically maintained.

The District Superintendent of Police, Anantapur, refers to reminders having been sent but I find from the register maintained here that no reminders are registered as having been received for these two references. I am sorry for the delay and will see that such does not recur.

/ Sd./ C.Syeduddin Hussein.

Camp. Chelur.
1-2-1905.

Asst. Superintendent of Police.

Forwarded

h

forwarded to the Inspector General of Police with reference to his No: 3499/123 of 21st December 1904.

The delay is most unfortunate and shows that the District Police Office is not properly looked after. The Assistant Superintendent of Police should give his personal attention to such references.

(Signed.) K. P. Puttanna Chetty

3-2-1905. Deputy Commissioner.

J C Cam

Inspector General of Police.

Rm

1904-05. //

From,

K.P.Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Dated.

Despatched. 28th November 1904.

Received.

General No: 165
No:

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 1370/37 of the 5th September last forwarding for compliance the marginally noted communications from the District Superintendent of Police, Anantapur, I have the honor to state as follows.:-

P.I. No: 3/T.D and 49/T.D. dated 8th August 1904.

1. P. I. No: 49/T.D. dated 19th August 1904.

The first reference to which this is a reminder, is found from the registers to have been received in the District Police Office on 20-7-1904 and was forwarded to the Goribidnoor Taluk on the same day for report. It was returned from the Taluk on 8-8-04 with the report that no traces of the

referred to could be found in any of the seven stations of that Taluk and it was eventually returned to the Superintendent of Police, Anantapur on the 12th idem with the above reply with this office No: 5/K.D.

2. P. I. No: 3/ T.D. dated 9th August 1904.

The reference about this also was received on 20-7-1904 at the District Police Office and referred to the Goribidnoor Inspector on the same day. The latter officer replied on 3-8-1904 that the Korachers therein mentioned were reported to have arrived in Subbenahally, Gudibanda Range, whereupon it was sent to Gudibanda on 13-8-1904. The Gudibanda Jamedar being unable to trace their arrival either in Subbenahally or elsewhere in his limits, returned the reference in question on 20-8-1904 and it was ultimately sent back to the District Superintendent of Police, Anantapur on 24-8-1904 with this office No: 2 of 1904.

The Assistant Superintendent of Police and the Goribidnoor and Gudibanda Police report that the references about these gangs said to have been addressed to them prior to 20-7-1904 were not received by them. No charge of delay can be laid at their door.

While on this subject I would bring to your notice that a diversity of practice obtains in some of the bordering Districts of His Majesty's Territory, as regards sending occurrence and other reports to this District for reply. In some instances, the original references are made to the Taluk direct and the reminders sent to the District Police Office and Vice Versa in other instances. This method if allowed to continue is, in my opinion, calculated not only to create delay in the disposal of such references but goes a great way to give room for complaints of delay.

In the interest of prompt and punctual despatch of work I would propose that you will kindly move the marginally noted District -- Superintendents on the borders of this District with a view to adopt uniform method of correspondence in routine matters either directly with the Taluk Police or thro' the Assistant Superintendent of Police.

1. Anantapur .
2. Cuddapah and Madanapally.
3. North Arcot.

I have &c.,

(Signed.) C.Syeduddin Hussein.

For Deputy Commissioner.
Polar District.

" Copy "

Inspector General of Police.

13 File no: 110
Serial no: 4

To

The First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore

Sir,

Pol: No 748
dt 3-3-05

In reply to your letter No.6145 dated the 9th December 1904, bringing to notice certain delays on the part of the Mysore Police in answering communications made by the Police Officers of the Madras Presidency, I am directed to forward herewith for the information of the Hon'ble the Resident a copy of Memo No.535 dated the 1st February 1905 from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Kolar District, explaining the cause of the delay, and to state that the Durbar regret the delay and that steps will be taken to prevent a recurrence thereof in future.

2. In this connection I am to suggest that, in order, to avoid delay in replying to references, a uniform method of correspondence, either direct with the Taluk Police of the State, or <u>through the District Superintendent of Police,</u> may be adopted, in routine matters, by the Madras Police. There being no uniformity in this respect, it is reported that in some instances original references are made to the Taluk direct and the reminders thereto are sent through the District Police Office, and <u>vice</u>

5029
28.II.05
2.3.1905

versa in other instances; and consequently it will often be impossible to ascertain definitely who is to blame in case of delay or non-reply. As it is desirable that there should be some definite arrangement in the matter, I am to request that the Inspector General of Police, Madras Presidency, may be kindly addressed with a view to issue instructions to the Police Officers of the bordering Districts of the Presidency to address all routine communications with the State Police through the District Superintendent of Police of the District in Mysore territory concerned.

I have &ca.,

25.2.1905

Secretary.

Enclosure as mark

Copied. S. Shivaram 3/3.
Compd. 6.3.05

15 2407
File no 110 of 04-
Serial no 5.

Police.
III
20/5/05

Copy of letter No.1964 dated the 13th May 1905, from the Inspector General of Police, Madras, to the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.1566 dated the 15th March 1905, I have the honour to forward some draft rules regulating correspondence between subordinate Police Officials in British and Mysore territory, which I propose to put in force if they are accepted by the Mysore Government.

2. The rules are as follows:-

"In order to obviate delay in correspondence upon routine matters with the Mysore Police the following instructions are laid down:-

(1) Station-House Officers may correspond direct with Station-House Officers in Mysore Territory upon matters of routine, such as the movements of criminals, circulation of descriptive rolls, and lists of property lost or recovered, enquiries as to antecedents and convictions of persons, &c. The communication should be in English or Canarese. If this is impossible, the Station-House officer must correspond through the Division Inspector who will translate the communication into English.

(2) Movements of gangs and known Depredators will be communicated

communicated by the forms used for those purposes. (Forms 63 & 60 A). Other communications will be by the memo form (Form 226).

(3) Inspectors may correspond with Inspectors in Mysore Territory under similar conditions. They will use the memo form (Form 226).

(4) All communications must be stamped.

(5) It must be clearly understood that all correspondence at all controversial in character must be sent through the District Superintendent of Police"

Idno 1847
Dt 22.5.05

No. 2212

Resident's Office.

Dated Bangalore the 20 May 1905.

Copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore with reference to Mr.Venkatavaradaiengar's letter Pol.No.748, dated the 3rd March 1905, with a request that the Resident may be favoured at an early date with the views of the Durbar in the matter

First Assistant Resident.

HR

17

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 110
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 3440 of 07
Police: 110-6/29/5/05
Secret 0079

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, the 29/5/ 1905

To
The Inspector General of
Police in Mysore.

Date of issue 29/5/05

Signed by Lt Col K.S. Chanbasa Iyi,
for Chief Secy:—

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 4652/491 dated the 17th February 1905, reporting in the matter of the delay in replying to certain Communications from the Police officers of the Madras Presidency, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 1964 dated the 13th May 1905 from the Inspector General of Police, Madras to the Chief Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident

over.

and suggesting the adoption of a uniform method of Correspondence in order to obviate such delays

SUBJECT.

Mysore, forwarding a set of draft rules regulating the Correspondence between the Subordinate Police officials in British & Mysore Territory, and to request ~~the favour of~~ ~~your views on the~~ you to be so good as to favour ~~him~~ with your ~~views~~ thereon ~~on the subject~~ ~~draft rules proposed to be issued~~.

I have etc

25.5.1905

Secretary

23/5/05

List of enclosures.

28/6/05

Copied by 27.6.05

Examined by 27/6/05

MGGPB—5,000—20-5-04

File no: 110 J. 2674
Serial no: 1604-05. Police
111 Dec 1605

From,

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl: & Rev: Departments.

Dated.

Despatched. 27th June 1905

Received.

General No: 7392
No: 776

Enclosure No:

Re Draft rules regulating the
Correspondence between the Subordinate
Police officials in British & Mysore

17

No: 7392/776

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 20th June 1905.
27

From,

Rao Bahadur C. Madiah Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Reference:-

Your letter No: J.3440/Police 110-6 dated 29th May 1905, forwarding for my opinion a set of draft rules framed by the Inspector General of Police, Madras, regulating the correspondence between the subordinate Police Officials in British and Mysore Territory.

----------ooOoo----------

1. I have the honor to state that the draft rules are most convenient and will obviate delay in correspondence on routine matters.

2. To introduce these rules into our Province it becomes necessary:-

(1) to authorise all Station House Officers to frank covers:

(2) to provide all stations with a small supply of service labels - say for Re. 1/- for every station:

3.

3. As regards point (1) viz., authorizing Inspectors and Station House Officers to frank service covers, I beg to propose that the Post Master General may be moved in the matter, as under Government Order No: 17532-71/G.F.87-93 dated 13-6-1903 this power is limited only to Police Inspectors of certain Taluks.

4. Regarding the supply of service stamps to all the Stations, there are in all 515 Head and Sub Stations in the Province, and allowing each station, on an average a rupee worth of service stamps per annum, the total cost will be Rs 515/-.

5. As this amount cannot be met from the contingencies allotted to stations, I recommend that Government will be pleased to sanction this additional amount of Rs 515/- to be met from savings under the head "Executive Rural Police."

6. If these proposals meet with the approval of Government, I beg to state that the Inspector General of Police, Madras, may be addressed to give effect to the draft rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

R.L.

G.R.S. 26]

Police.
File No: 110.
Serial No. 8.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Pol No. 27

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

To

The First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Date of issue 11.7.05

Signed by B.H. Venkatavaradayya

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement No. 2812 dated the 20th May 1905, forwarding for the views of the Durbar a copy of letter No. 1964 dated the 13th idem from the Inspector General of Police, Madras, Presidency, ~~forwarding~~ proposing a set of draft rules to regulate the correspondence between Subordinate

over Police

SUBJECT.

Letter
7/7

Police officials in the British and Mysore Territories.

2. In reply I am to state that the draft rules are very convenient and will obviate delay in correspondence in routine matters and that the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja have no objection to the adoption of the proposed rules.

3. To introduce these rules into this State, it becomes necessary to authorize all the Station House officers & Police Inspectors in mysore to punish official covers, and as this power is at present limited only to Police Inspectors of a few talukas in the State (vide correspondence noting with your office letter No: 1777* dated the 11th April 1903 ~~No: 1732-71-G. & S.-93 dated the 13th June 1903~~), I am to request you to kindly move the Postal Authorities to admit all Police officers of the State of ~~the~~ and above the Station-

List of enclosures,

Nil

Quote the date & letter on which it is based & initials of the attendant.

*(Copy forwarded).

The file G 787-93 which contains the above letter is said to be in D. P. I's office who are requested to verify it before sending it herewith.

Copied by

Examined by

SR 30-6-05

23

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

House officers' grade into the list of persons eligible to use Service Postage labels on State Correspondence and to post it within the limits of the Mysore State.

I have the honour to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

3495 5.VII.05

5.VII.05

7.7.1905

29-6-05

29.6.05

Passed 7/05

Entered by dtg 10.7.05

Entered by SP 10/7/05

24 J419

File no: 110

No. 4561 Enc 24 Serial no: 9.

FROM

R. C. H. MOSS KING, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Pol no 2303 dt 19.8.05

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

III Cell
Enc 19-8-05

Dated Bangalore, 17th August 1905.

SIR,

With reference to Mr.Venkatavaradiengar's letter Pol No.27, dated the 11th July 1905, I am directed to forward herewith 24 copies of the rules issued by the Inspector General of Police, Madras, to regulate correspondence between subordinate Police officials of the Madras Presidency and of the Mysore State, and to state that a separate communication will be made as regards the proposal that all Mysore Police officers of and above the grade of Station House Officer should be authorised to use service postage labels on state correspondence posted within the limits of Mysore.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

RR

Registered :—M. 182.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL OF POLICE
8 AUG 1905
MADRAS.

MADRAS POLICE GAZETTE.

MADRAS:—SATURDAY, 5TH AUGUST, 1905.

PART I.

DEPARTMENTAL ORDERS.

No. 55.

Correspondence with Native States.

age 314, Order No. 594-A, P. O. Book, Vol. I (Reprint).—

Add the following to the Order :—

In order, however, to obviate delay in correspondence upon routine matters with the re Police, the following instructions are laid down :—

) Station-house officers may correspond direct with Station-house officers in Mysore territory upon matters of routine, such as the movements of criminals, circulation of descriptive rolls, lists of property lost or recovered, enquiries as to antecedents and convictions of persons, etc. The communication should be in English or Canarese. If this is impossible, the Station-house officer must correspond through the Division Inspector, who will translate the communication into English.

ovements of gangs and known depredators will be communicated by the forms used for those purposes (Forms Nos. 63 and 60-A). Other communications will be by the memo. Form (Form No. 226).

pectors may correspond with Inspectors in Mysore territory under similar onditions. They will use the memo. Form (Form No. 226).

l communications must be stamped.

e clearly understood that all correspondence at all controversial in r must be sent through the District Superintendent of Police.

G.R.S. 26]

26

Police

File No. 110

Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to—

No. J 520 / Police-110-10 — 8/23/8/05

General and Rev. Secretariat

Police)

the 23-8-1905.

To

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Date of issue 23-8-05

Signed by C. K. S. Chandra Sekhara Aijar

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 7372/776 dated the 20th June 1905, I am directed to forward herewith 23 copies of the rules issued by the Inspector General of Police in Madras to regulate the correspondence between the Madras and Mysore Police and to request you to make as wide a publication of the rules as possible.

2. A separate Communication will be made as regards the proposal

over.

SUBJECT.

Authorize
~~All~~ Mysore Police officers of and above the grade of station house officer ~~should be~~ authorised to use service postage labels on State Correspondence posted within the limits of Mysore.

I have the &c.

21.8.1905

Secretary.

CRO SW
19.8.05 19/8/05

List of enclosures.

Copied by 21/8/

Examined by SW 21/8/05

A.

(For the purposes of Section 75, Indian Penal Code.)

Chapter XII, Indian Penal Code.—All offences except those punishable unde Sections 241, 254 and 262.

Chapter XVII, Indian Penal Code.—

Sections 379 to 382.—Theft of all kinds.
Sections 384, 386 to 389.—Extortion of all kinds except Section 385.
Sections 392 to 394, 397 and 398.—Robbery of all kinds.
Sections 395, 396, 399, 402.—Dacoity of all kinds.
Sections 400 and 401.—Belonging to a gang of thieves or dacoits.
Section 404.—Dishonest misappropriation of property belonging to a ceased person.
Sections 406 to 408.—Criminal breach of trust.
Section 409.—Criminal breach of trust by public servant.
Sections 411 to 414.—Receiving stolen property.
Sections 418 to 420.—Cheating of all kinds, except simple cheating, Se 417.
Sections 429 to 433, 435 to 440.—Serious mischief.
Sections 449 to 452.—House-trespass in order to commit an offence.
Sections 454 to 458.—Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking than simple, Section 453.
Sections 459, 460.—Grievous hurt or death caused in house- breaking
Section 462. Fraudulently opening a closed receptacle held in trust.

B.

(For the purposes of Sections 3 and 4 of the Whipping Act, 1884.)

Sections 193, 194, 195, Indian Penal Code. - Giving or fabricating fals dence.
Sections 211, 377, Indian Penal Code.—False charge of committing a natural offence.
Section 354, Indian Penal Code.—Indecent assault on a woman.
Section 376, Indian Penal Code.—Rape.
Section 377, Indian Penal Code.—Unnatural offence.
Sections 465 to 469.—Forgery.

C.

(Other offences.)

Sections 489-A to 489-D., Indian Penal Code.—Forgery of currency note bank notes.
Section 311, Indian Penal Code—Being a thug.
Sections 363 to 369, Indian Penal Code.—Kidnapping.
Section 461.—Dishonestly breaking open a closed receptacle.
Sections 109 and 110, Code of Criminal Procedure.—Bad livelihood.
Section 9, Act I of 1878.—Opium smuggling.

[Any other offences which the Government may consider of special impo owing to local circumstances, may from time to time be added to this list.]

All crime of the specified classes which is reported to the Police sho entered in the Crime Register, irrespective of the fact whether it is true or fa

The necessary particulars regarding each conviction will be communica the officer in charge of the Police Station by the office of the Superinten Police.

PART—V. HISTORY SHEETS.

History sheets should be prepared for all persons believed to be addi crime. The conviction of a person for a heinous offence, such as robbery, serious burglary or receiving stolen property, would ordinarily be sufficient to the opening of a history sheet. But the general rule should be that history should only be opened for persons whom there is reason to believe to be h

ENCLOSURE.

RULES REGARDING THE REGISTRATION AND SURVEILLANCE OF BAD CHARACTERS.

In order to deal effectively with crime it is necessary to have a continuous record of the criminal history of individuals and localities. To secure this there will be maintained for each village a Village Crime Note-book, which combines crime and conviction registers with history sheets of persons of doubtful character. A specimen note-book is attached with a few rules for the guidance of Station-house Officers.

The advantages of the plan are as follows :—

(*a*) There will be a permanent record of the crime and criminals for each village.

(*b*) No action will ordinarily be taken against either a suspect or an ex-convict under the preventive sections of the Criminal Procedure Code, until his history sheet shows that there are sufficient grounds for proceeding against him.

(*c*) Those whose history sheets have been begun will be quietly watched and information will be collected about them; but they will not be considered to be under Police surveillance until their names have been entered in the special register for that purpose.

(*d*) Orders for formal surveillance will be passed only by the Superintendent, or Assistant Superintendent; and as the number of men under surveillance will not be large (*i. e.*, only the notorious bad characters), it will not be too great a burden to put on the village authorities to expect them to report their movements to the Police.

2. These village note-books will be confidential documents, kept by the station-house officer, who will be responsible for their contents. Each village will include all the dakhale villages or hamlets attached to it in the Revenue Survey accounts. There is no objection to several villages being included in one book, but the entries relating to each village should be kept distinct. But the books should not be too bulky. In some cases it may be best to have a separate book for each village.

3. To ensure the surveillance of dangerous criminals a Surveillance Register will be maintained at each Police Station. This register will be little more than a record of the orders of the Superintendent or the Assistant Superintendent, as the case may be, as to what persons are to be placed under strict surveillance. Information about the movements of such persons will be given in the history sheets.

4. To secure the most complete co-operation between districts, and between the Mysore State and the adjoining Province, information of the movements of bad characters must be communicated promptly. There should be printed forms of Bad Character Rolls in diglott (English and Kanarese), and immediately information is received of the departure or arrival of a bad character one of these rolls should be filled up and sent to the Police Station to which the bad character is believed to have gone, or from which he is said to have come. If intimation is thus promptly sent and acted upon, much valuable information will be gathered of the movements of bad characters.

When a Bad Character Roll is sent outside the State the English counterpart should always be filled in.

(*Instructions for the maintenance of the Village Crime Note-book.*)

Village crime note-books are confidential records and the officer in charge of the Police Station is responsible for their safe custody.

PART II—CRIME REGISTER AND PART III CONVICTION REGISTER.

Only the following offences should be entered in the Crime and Conviction Registers :—

criminals or to be their aiders or abettors. For instance if a person is suspected of being a receiver of stolen property, or of being concerned in systematic cattle theft, a history sheet should be begun even if he has not been convicted. On the other hand, if a man has been convicted of house-breaking and there is reason to believe that the offence, though house-breaking, was committed in an intrigue with a woman not for the purposes of theft, no history sheet would ordinarily be opened; and in no case should a history sheet be prepared of a person who has been dealt with as a first offender under Section 562, Code of Criminal Procedure.

Proceedings under Section 110, Code of Criminal Procedure, should ordinarily not be taken until a history sheet establishes a case of bad livelihood. But if security has in any case been demanded from a person under Section 109 or 110, Code of Criminal Procedure, before the preparation of a history sheet such a sheet should at once be opened.

In all cases the orders of the Police Inspector must be obtained before beginning a history sheet.

If any information favourable to an individual whose name has been entered in a history sheet is obtained it should be duly recorded.

(All Headings to be printed in English and Kanarese.)

VILLAGE CRIME NOTE BOOK

OF

VILLAGE.

POLICE STATION.

DISTRICT.

PART I.

Population by Census of 19 . *Number of houses.*

Names of outlying hamlets, with number of houses in each :

Revenue.

Market days, fairs and festivals.

Principal castes and tribes.

Names of headmen, other leaders, and village watchmen :

Headmen	Other leading men	Village watchmen

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 2135—222, dated the 15th September 1906, from the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, regarding the adoption by the Mysore Police of certain forms for the registration and surveillance of bad characters.

No. J. 1160-61—POLICE 173-05-11, DATED BANGALORE, 2ND NOVEMBER 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—In Appendix VII of their report, the Indian Police Commission suggested certain rules and forms which had for their object the maintenance of a village crime note-book which combines crime and conviction registers with history sheets of persons of doubtful character. The Government of India in their letter No. 1112—1120, Home Department, Police, dated the 8th November 1905, directed the introduction of those rules and forms with certain modifications throughout British India. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja have had under consideration the question of adopting the same rules and forms in the Mysore State, there being an obvious advantage in the rules adopted in the State for the surveillance of bad characters and interchange of information as to their movements being uniform with the rules in force ~~in~~ the neighbouring British Indian districts. The Inspector-General of Police, to whom the question was referred, is of opinion that the rules and forms prescribed by the Government of India, *viz.*, with regard to the maintenance of village crime note-books, surveillance registers, and bad-character rolls are suitable for adoption in Mysore, the only modification suggested by him being that instead of having a separate village crime note-book for each village, the entries relating to all the villages within the limits of a Police Station may be made in one register, a sufficient number of pages being allotted to each village including the hamlets attached to it.

2. After careful consideration, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore have decided that the rules and forms prescribed by the Government of of India for British Indian Provinces should be introduced in the State with the slight modifications shown in the enclosure to this Order. The Government agree with the Inspector-General in considering that under the circumstances existing in Mysore it is unnecessary to open a separate village crime note-book for each village. It will be enough if a sufficient number of pages is allotted for each village in one or several books, as may be found convenient, to be kept at each Police Station.

3. The adoption of the forms now prescribed renders the following registers now in use, unnecessary. These will therefore be discontinued :—

1. The Village Register, Police Serial No. 24.
2. The Conviction Register, Police Serial No. 31A.
3. The K. D. Register, Police Serial No. 62A.

4. The Government agree with the Inspector-General in considering that the use of the present Gang Registers (Police Serials 55A and 55B) should be continued.

5. As regards the register of suspicious-looking strangers (Police Serial 34) which patels or heads of villages are now required to keep, the Inspector-General considers that the form, though useful enough, is seldom, if ever, maintained properly, partly because the majority of the patels are illiterate, and partly because the Police are slack, and do not insist on patels writing up the registers. He therefore suggests that the register may be discontinued, and that patels should be insisted upon only to send information of all arrivals and departures of suspicious-looking strangers and gangs by word of mouth or through talvars or other village servants to the Police Station. The proposal of the Inspector-General is approved.

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.
The Superintendent of Stationery.

Exd.—C. V.

PART II

Crime Register.

Serial No. as given in First Information Book	Date of occurrence	Offence	Value of property		Names of persons accused or suspected, with parentage, caste and residence	Result of case
			Stolen	Recovered		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

PART III.

Conviction Register.

No.	Name of convict and No. of history sheet, if any	Parentage and caste	Place and date of conviction	Offence	Punishment awarded	Place of crime if committed outside village	Remarks

PART IV.

Notes on crime in the village with special reference to factions, land or water disputes, presence of criminal tribes or gangs, occurrence of obstructions on or damage to railway line within the village, special outbreaks of crime in the village, etc.

PART V.

History Sheet.

No.	Name	Parentage and caste	Age and date of entry	Description	If finger impression, or photograph taken, date of doing so

Relations and connections.

Property and mode of earning livelihood.

Convictions.

History and movements.

Instructions for the preparation of the Surveillance Register.

1. While there may be several Note-books for the villages within the limits of a Station there will be only one Surveillance Register for each Police Station. Entries will be made in the latter only by order of the Superintendent, or the Assistant Superintendent. This will also be a confidential register, and will be kept by the Station-house Officer. It will be merely an index of the names of men placed under surveillance. Details of their movements will continue to be written in the History sheets.

2. Suspects and convicts need not be treated separately, except so far as the fact of a conviction for a certain class of offence may render the convict liable automatically to Police surveillance.

3. No one will be placed under surveillance until his name has been entered in the Surveillance Register by order of the Superintendent or the Assistant Superintendent. Reputed receivers of stolen property are not often convicted, but they should be watched; and if the History sheet establishes a strong case against a receiver, he should be placed under surveillance.

Surveillance Register.

No.	Name	Parentage and caste	Residence	No. of history sheet	Date of entry	Brief reasons for entry and signature of officer	Name struck off	
							Date	Brief reasons and signature of officer

Rules for reporting the movements of Bad Characters.

(1) When a bad character whose name is entered in the Surveillance Register leaves his home, it shall be the duty of the head of the village immediately to inform the officer in charge of the Police Station of the departure of such person and his alleged destination, if known.

(2) The officer in charge of the Police Station shall at once fill in a Bad Character Roll, Form A, and forward it by the quickest possible means, whether by hand or by post, to the officer in charge of the Police Station within which is situated the place to which the bad character is alleged or believed to have gone. If the destination of the bad character is not known, a copy of the roll should be sent to every Police Station to which there is any likelihood of his having gone.

(3) A Police officer who receives the roll shall at once acknowledge the receipt of it and shall immediately take steps to ascertain whether the bad character has arrived within the limits of his jurisdiction. If the bad character is found, the Police officer shall note the date and hour of his arrival, the name of the person with whom he is staying and the names of any persons with whom he associates, and he shall arrange to have his proceedings watched in the same way as if he were a registered bad character of his own station. If he has not been traced on the expiry of one week from the receipt of the roll, the officer receiving the roll shall return it, with a statement to that effect on the back of it, to the Police Station of issue.

(4) When the bad character leaves the limits of the Station for his home or elsewhere, the officer in charge shall forward the roll to the officer in charge of the Police Station to which the bad character has gone, noting on the back of it all the information regarding the individual's movements which was collected while he was residing within the limits of the Station. If the bad character goes to a Police Station other than that in which he is registered, the officer in charge of the latter should be informed of the fact.

(5) If the village head hears of the advent of a suspicious stranger in his village, it shall be his duty to question the person regarding his antecedents and residence, and to send to the Police Station, with as little delay as possible, all the information obtained by him.

(6) On the receipt of such information it shall be the duty of the officer in charge of the Police Station to send a roll, with the utmost possible despatch, to the Police Station within the limits of which the stranger alleges that he resides.

(7) On receiving such a roll the officer in charge of a Police Station shall at once return it with complete information regarding the individual in question, if he is a resident of that Station; while if he is not a resident, the roll should be returned with a statement to that effect. In that case the officer who issued the roll must take all possible steps to discover the identity of the stranger.

(8) The nature of the information received regarding the stranger will guide the Police officer as to the steps that should be taken (*a*) either to institute proceedings under Section 109 or 110 of the Criminal Procedure Code, or (*b*) to watch the movements of the stranger.

[Copy to be taken by carbon process.]

BAD CHARACTER ROLL.

Form A —Roll for reporting the absence or departure of a Bad Character.

Police Station_____

District_____ Province_____

Serial No. of Roll_____

Name, parentage, caste and descriptive marks of Bad Character.

No. in Surveillance Register and particulars of previous convictions.

Class of offences he commits.

PART II

Crime Register.

Serial No. as given in First Information Book	Date of occurrence	Offence	Value of property		Names of persons accused or suspected, with parentage, caste and residence	Result of case
			Stolen	Recovered		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

PART III.

Conviction Register.

No.	Name of convict and No. of history sheet, if any	Parentage and caste	Place and date of conviction	Offence	Punishment awarded	Place of crime if committed outside village	Remarks

PART IV.

Notes on crime in the village with special reference to factions, land or water disputes, presence of criminal tribes or gangs, occurrence of obstructions on or damage t railway line within the village, special outbreaks of crime in the village, etc.

PART V.

History Sheet.

No.	Name	Parentage and caste	Age and date of entry	Description	If finger impression, or photograph taken, date of doing so

Judicial (Police), dated 2nd November 1906.

BAD CHARACTER ROLL.

Form B.—Roll for reporting the arrival of a Suspicious Stranger.

Police Station _____ Province _____

District _____

Serial No. of Roll _____

Name, parentage, caste, occupation and residence of stranger, and any previous convictions admitted by him.

Descriptive marks.

Name of village and person with whom he is staying, with information as to his conduct and associates.

Date of arrival.

Name of Police Station and village from which he alleges he has come.

Date on which he alleges he left his village.

Date and hour of departure of stranger, with name of reporter.

Whether stranger is returning to his home or going elsewhere.

Date and hour of despatch of this Roll, and whether sent by hand or post.

Signed _____

Designation _____

Date _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT.

Bad Character Roll (Form B), No. of Police Station _____ District _____, was received by me at A.M./P.M. on the _____ of 190 .

Signed _____

Designation _____

Date _____

Reply

If the stranger is identified, full particulars regarding him should here and the Roll should then be returned to the Police Station of is

1906-07

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL (POLICE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 2nd November 1906

No. J. 1160-61
Police 173-05-11

Prescribes certain forms for the registration and surveillance of bad characters.

GPB—3-12-06—500